## Case 2:20-cr-00013-WBS Document 26 Filed 10/02/20 Page 1 of 3

1 2 3 4 5 6	McGREGOR W. SCOTT United States Attorney MICHAEL W. REDDING Assistant United States Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2700 Facsimile: (916) 554-2900  Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America	
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.	CASE NO. 2:20-CR-13-WBS
	,	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE
12	Plaintiff, v.	TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT; FINDINGS AND ORDER
14	MARIO GONZALEZ,	DATE: October 5, 2020
15	Defendant.	TIME: 9:00 a.m. COURT: Hon. William B. Shubb
16		J
17	STIPULATION	
18	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and	
19	through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:	
20	1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on October 5, 2020.	
21	2. The defendant intends to file a Motion to Suppress.	
22	3. By this stipulation, defendant now moves to continue the status conference and set it for	
23	evidentiary hearing on the Motion to Suppress on December 15, 2020 at 10:00 a.m., and to exclude time	
24	between October 5, 2020, and December 15, 2020, under Local Codes E and T4. In the event the Court	
25	rules on the motion without need for an evidentiary hearing, the December 15, 2020 date will revert to a	
26	status date.	
27	4. The parties agree and stipulate, a	nd request that the Court find the following:

The defendant intends to file a Motion to Suppress Evidence in this matter.

a)

28

b) The parties have conferred with the Court and propose the following schedule for the defendant's Motion to Suppress:

October 19, 2020 – date by which defendant must file Motion to Suppress November 16, 2020 – date by which government must file its Response November 30, 2020 – date by which defendant must file his Reply, if any December 15, 2020 – date of evidentiary hearing and argument, if any

- c) Additionally, the government has represented that the discovery associated with this case includes video/audio recordings and written reports. The government has provided extensive discovery in the form of these recordings and reports. The government recently provided additional discovery in response to defense counsel's request.
- d) Counsel for defendant also desires additional time to review discovery, consult with his client, research his criminal history, pursue further investigation, discuss possible resolution with the assigned AUSA, file the aforementioned motion, prepare for any evidentiary hearing, and otherwise prepare for trial.
- e) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny him the reasonable time necessary for to file and litigate the Motion to Suppress Evidence. Counsel for defendant also believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny him the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
  - f) The government does not object to the continuance.
- g) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- h) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of October 5, 2020 to December 15, 2020, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(1)(D) [Local Code E] because it results from a pretrial motion, from the filing of the motion through the conclusion of the hearing on or prompt disposition of the motion. Furthermore, for the purpose of computing

## Case 2:20-cr-00013-WBS Document 26 Filed 10/02/20 Page 3 of 3

1	time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence,	
2	the time period of October 5, 2020 to December 15, 2020, inclusive, is also deemed excludable	
3	pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a	
4	continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that	
5	the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the	
6	defendant in a speedy trial.	
7	5. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the	
8	Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial	
9	must commence.	
10	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
11		
12	D. J. G. J. 20 2020	
13	Dated: September 30, 2020 MCGREGOR W. SCOTT United States Attorney	
14	/ / MICHAEL W. DEDDING	
15	/s/ MICHAEL W. REDDING MICHAEL W. REDDING	
16	Assistant United States Attorney	
17	Details Contamber 20, 2020	
18	Dated: September 30, 2020 /s/ Douglas Beevers Douglas Beevers	
19	Counsel for Defendant MARIO GONZALEZ	
20		
21		
22	FINDINGS AND ORDER	
23	IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED.	
24	Dated: October 1, 2020	
25	WILLIAM B. SHUBB	
26	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
27		

27

28